Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/552,362	COSFORD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Karen Cheng	1626
All Participants: Status of Application: pending		
(1) Karen Cheng.	(3)	
(2) <i>David Rubin</i> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 April 2007	Time: <u>10:00 AM</u>	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	•	
Claims discussed: 1-8	·	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writton ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Don On Olus		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A call was made to Applicant's Representative David Rubin to discuss further restriction of the invention due to the enormous scope of the invention as claimed. It was agreed that Examiner would search the invention wherein A1, A2, A3, A4 and A5 are defined so that the central ring is a 1,2,3-triazole, X is a pyridine ring optionally substituted as defined, W is absent, Y is phenyl ring optionally substituted as defined, Z is a pyridine ring, A and B are C0-alkyl and the other substituents are as defined. X and Y could be at variable positions of the triazole ring according to the original claims.